

ID	Any further comments you would like to make with regards to the consultation.
1	no
2	None
3	no
4	I would like to see the budget for the £60k EYBT for the nursery site, to see how it is used within the context of their overall budget. This always just seems to be passed with no updated scrutiny.
5	I would like to see the budget for the £60k EYBT for the nursery site, to see how it is used within the context of their overall budget. This always just seems to be passed with no updated scrutiny.
6	We think if there is left over money from the growth fund then this should be prioritised for schools whose roll has increased due to in year admissions.
7	I think there needs to be greater explanation/justification of the growth fund given trend in pupil numbers across the borough.
8	Is the SEN transfer a fixed amount or a %? With the DfE's habit of rolling additional grants into the school's block grant we should decide.
9	Would it not be more representative if these consultations were weighted to the proportion of children a school teaches? The numbers of children in primary schools matches that in secondary schools however the 'voting' ratio in these consultations is skewed to primaries by more than 5 to 1. It is hardly surprising, in these times of budget constraints, that the past few year's funding formulae (and indeed I would guess the likely suggested model 2 this year) have favoured increasing primary funding at the expense to secondary. It is only the DfE's minimum funding guarantee that has stepped in to minimize large losses to secondary schools. I understand it is not a strict vote and perhaps school's forum should consider this when deciding on the recommended model for 24/25?
10	none
11	% for safety valve is incorrect...
12	Why has Trade Union Facilities figure increased so much on previous year? HNB transfer of £1,140,135 seems too high by my calculation of 0.5% of £224,974,128.52, or am I using the wrong figure here???
13	Also, as more funding (e.g. MSAG) gets rolled into the Schools Block (as opposed to coming to schools as separate grants) how will this be taken into account when transferring 0.5% to HNB? While I support option 2 for the first question, I have given my best answers for the other questions. Unfortunately I missed the meeting on Wednesday through HPEYSHA and I do not think I have a full understanding of the implications of the other questions.
14	We believe model 1 gives the school the best outcome.
15	None.
16	EWO service - we need an annual report of how the money is being spent so we can understand what the lump sum is for. The service has been poor to now. Trade Union Facilities - v high, higher than last year. Please give spending breakdown annually. Can we get money to cover release of reps? Ours has done 3 day training this year
17	Can you confirm Model 1 is the same in consultation response as in explanatory paper - they look to be different re AWP calculation but I may have read it incorrectly.
18	Please clarify why the Trade Union Facilities time amount has increased significantly from previously. Why such an increase?
19	Query over question 5 - why has it gone up?
20	NO
21	In terms of the high needs block transfer for safety valve, as more funding streams are included more will be top sliced. Can this be capped? The amount is increasing each time.
22	None
23	Although I have answered yes to question 6. That seems like a lot of money
24	No
25	Although the situation with regards to communication with Governors has really improved, there is still need for improvement! A comprehensive plan however is urgently needed with regards to the future.
26	With the fall in pupil numbers and the decline on roll for Haringey, this would imply the Growth Fund is no longer required. I would like an explanation as to why there is an increase in Trade Union Facilities time costs. I would like to know how the recently launched DfE attendance hubs will be working with LA EWO or not? What will be the impact (or not) of the Hubs? The EWO service continues to be inadequate and unreliable resulting in my school having to buy into a private company to ensure a robust reliable service and approach is consistently achieved resulting in improved pupil attendance. My understanding is that with the MSAG now being included within the lump sum, this means that the 0.5% top slice for the High Needs Block will include this additional money meaning less money shared out across schools when we desperately need it. Surely this needs removing before the contribution to the High Needs Block?
27	No
28	Support additional funding of EW service but would like to know what improvements will be made with this funding.
29	None
30	no
31	The voting system is weighted towards primary schools and not secondary schools as it is not based on pupils number. We would like to see the voting system reflect the size of schools.
32	No